

Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel

Record of Meeting

Date: 1st September 2015

Present	Deputy J.A.N. Le Fondré, Chairman Deputy K.C. Lewis Connétable C.H. Taylor Deputy S.J. Brée, Vice-Chairman
Apologies	
Absent	
In attendance	Mr T. Oldham, Scrutiny Manager Mrs J. Hales, Scrutiny Officer

Ref Back	Agenda matter	Action
Item 3 24/08/15 513/45	<p>1. Jersey International Finance Centre</p> <p>The Panel considered correspondence to the Greffier that it had been copied into from Carey Olsen, the law firm representing the States of Jersey Development Company (SoJDC), in regard to the summons issued by the Panel to SoJDC requiring it to provide the pre-let agreement between SoJDC and UBS, the funding agreement between SoJDC and HSBC and the construction contract between SoJDC and Camerons, together with any side letters and other documentation pertaining to these agreements. It further considered responses from UBS, HSBC and Camerons to the Panel's correspondence to those parties requesting them to voluntarily provide the Panel with their respective aforementioned documents.</p> <p>The Panel noted that no parties had submitted the requested documentation and that the summons served on the Managing Director of the SoJDC, by the Panel was now subject to formal challenge as per Regulation 5 of the States of Jersey (Powers, Privileges and Immunities) (Scrutiny panels, PAC and PPC) Regulations 2006. The Panel recalled that Regulation 5 allowed a person served with a summons to challenge the summons and the challenge to be considered by PPC in accordance with the provisions set out in Regulation 6. That process was now being initiated by the Greffier and PPC.</p> <p>The Panel agreed that it would seek the appropriate advice to help inform its next steps, and would further seek the advice of the Greffier to clarify its understanding of the process that was being initiated through PPC. This would include matters relating to possible conflicts of interest relating to members of PPC in this matter.</p> <p>The Panel noted the ongoing work of its advisers in advance of submission of their final draft report that was anticipated very shortly. The Panel agreed that it would seek to publish a related interim report soon after the outline for which it discussed and agreed. Report drafting would begin at the earliest opportunity by the officer in close consultation with the Chairman and a draft presented for the Panel</p>	

	consideration shortly thereafter.	
--	-----------------------------------	--